Message

From: "Grismala, Ralph" ["Grismala, Ralph" <Ralph.Grismala@icfi.com>]

Sent: 10/3/2012 5:12:07 PM

To: Kate Schofield/DC/USEPA/US@EPA;Barbara Butler/CI/USEPA/US@EPA;Cindi Godsey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA;Glenn

Suter/CI/USEPA/US@EPA;Jeff Frithsen/DC/USEPA/US@EPA;"Rice, James" [James.Rice@icfi.com]; arbara Butler/CI/USEPA/US@EPA;Cindi Godsey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA;Glenn Suter/CI/USEPA/US@EPA;Jeff

Frithsen/DC/USEPA/US@EPA;"Rice, James" [James.Rice@icfi.com]; indi Godsey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA;Glenn Suter/CI/USEPA/US@EPA;Jeff Frithsen/DC/USEPA/US@EPA;"Rice, James" [James.Rice@icfi.com]; lenn Suter/CI/USEPA/US@EPA;Jeff Frithsen/DC/USEPA/US@EPA;"Rice, James" [James.Rice@icfi.com]; eff

Frithsen/DC/USEPA/US@EPA;"Rice, James" [James.Rice@icfi.com]; Rice, James" [James.Rice@icfi.com]; Rock, Kenneth" [Kenneth.Rock@icfi.com]; hil North/R10/USEPA/US@EPA;"rseal@usgs.gov" [rseal@usgs.gov];

rseal@usgs.gov" [rseal@usgs.gov]; Seville, Steven" [Steven.Seville@icfi.com]; tephen Hoffman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

CC: "Bauer, David" [David.Bauer@icfi.com]

Subject: RE: add'l BBA mine team issues

Attachments: ralph.grismala@icfi.com; icfi.com; schofield.kate@epa.gov

To all:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Ralph

RALPH GRISMALA, P.E. | +1.860.599.3534 direct | ralph.grismala@icfi.com | icfi.com

ICF INTERNATIONAL | 70 Timber Ridge Dr., Pawcatuck CT 06379 | +1.860.886.3788 mobile

From: Kate Schofield [mailto:Schofield.Kate@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, October 03, 2012 1:00 PM

To: Barbara Butler; Cindi Godsey; Glenn Suter; Jeff Frithsen; Rice, James; Rock, Kenneth; Phil North; Grismala, Ralph; rseal@usgs.gov;

Seville, Steven; Stephen Hoffman

Cc: Bauer, David

Subject: add'l BBA mine team issues

Hi all,

Jeff, Glenn and I had another discussion this morning about comments from the peer review panel, and things we need to try to

address (at least at some level) in the final draft of the assessment. Stemming from that discussion was, in part, a list of additional issues for the mining team to consider (see below). These are not necessarily all issues that we need to include in the final assessment, given our current scope and deadline - but they are issues that have been raised by the review process, that we at least should give some thought to.

Please have a read through the list and let us know your thoughts (e.g., Given our current deadline, what's feasible on these issues? Given some additional time, what would be feasible?). As you can see, most of these have not been tasked to anyone yet - so if there's an issue you would like to (or can be persuaded to) take on, please speak up.

As always, just let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks, Kate

Kate Schofield, Ph.D. | 703.347.8533 | schofield.kate@epa.gov
National Center for Environmental Assessment | ORD | USEPA

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5